Ensuring Correctness for Exascale and Beyond

Breakout session DoE X-Stack PI meeting 4/7/2016

Aggravated problems at Exascale

Goals

Need to maximize parallelism and minimize data transfers while being accurate and correct Need to reuse code and components to promote rapid development Approaches: Non-determinism (e.g. 2.5D communication-avoiding algorithms exploit reduction parallelism)

Approximations (e.g. fast multipole methods [2], -ffast-math)

Modular design: multiple runtimes (e.g. MPI, OpenMP, GasNet) and languages (e.g. Fortran, C)

Tradeoffs:

Inaccuracies from non-determinism ("non-reproducibility")

Detect and Eliminate Inaccuracies and Inefficiencies

Approaches:

Theorem proving (e.g. coq)

Semi-automated

Full correctness

Static analysis, abstract-interpretation, symbolic execution, model-checking

False positives

Partial correctness guarantees

Dynamic analysis

Accuracy & reproducibility: Some approaches

Why we need reproducibility and accuracy

Debuggability

Contractual obligations

Determine worst-case accuracy statically (e.g., Coq [4])

Guarantees, conservative bounds. No runtime overhead. Not fully automatic.

Maximize accuracy by dynamically adapting computations (e.g., Herbie [5], In situ UQ)

Better average accuracy. May improve worst-case. Runtime overhead.

Minimize precision while guaranteeing good-enough accuracy (e.g. Precimonious)

Questions

Which **specific** properties of **scientific codes** do need verification and analysis?

Are there **tools** to address these challenges, or some of them ?

Can we use dynamic analysis to optimize performance and to avoid bugs?

Can we build a easy-to-use tool to perform dynamic analysis and optimization?

Error prevention vs. detection

Mirrors detection and recommendation of optimization opportunities

What kind of guarantees can we get ?

Strength, coverage in code **types**, **volume** (locs) and **diversity** (languages)

References

- 1. Solomonik, Demmel Communication-optimal 2.5D matrix multiply and LU factorization algorithms. <u>http://www.eecs.berkeley.edu/Pubs/TechRpts/2011/EECS-2011-72.pdf</u>, 2011.
- 2. Beatson, Greengard A short course on Fast Multipole Methods. https://web.njit.edu/~jiang/math614/ beatson-greengard.pdf
- 3. Leroy and team CompCert http://compcert.inria.fr, 2009.
- 4. Ramananandro et al- A unified Coq framework for verifying C programs with floating-point computations. CPP 2016.
- 5. Pavel Panchekha et al- Herbie: Automatically Improving Floating-Point Accuracy. http:// herbie.uwplse.org/
- 6. Demmel et al ReproBLAS: Reproducible BLAS. http://bebop.cs.berkeley.edu/reproblas/
- 7. Rubio-Gonzalez, Sen, Demmel, Iancu et al.- Precimonious: Precision tuning of floating point programs

6